



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,665	07/07/2003	Larkin Hill Lowrey	0307091.0156	7577	
35602	7590 01/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STEPHEN C. GLAZIER			BROADHEAD, BRIAN J		
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART LLP 1800 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW		W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20036		3661		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summans	10/614,665	LOWREY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian J. Broadhead	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 September 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-77 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-77</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
oco ino attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-15-05, 5-23-05</u> . 6) Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20060116			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: IDS of 9-12-05, 11-08-05, and 12-27-05.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,665

Art Unit: 3661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 12-27-05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2)(iii), which requires a legible copy of each pending unpublished US patent application. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. (See MPEP section 609). The remaining reference that have been crossed out have been considered previously.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-67, and 69 through 77 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 7-16, 20-22, and 27-34 of copending Application No. 09/804888. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they use some different terms that are obvious replacements for each other.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claim 68 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 7-16, 20-22, and 27-34 of copending Application No. 09/804888 in view of Lin et al., 6400701. Lin et al. teaches using a wireless access protocol when accessing the internet through a wireless connection on lines 41-56, on column 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the WAP of Lin et al. in the above invention because such modification would allow wireless internet access to the maintenance information.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1 through 67 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lange et al. 6295492, in view of Shetty et al., 5808907.
- 5. As per claims 1 through 67 and 69, Lang et al. disclose generating a data packet from the vehicle using a wireless appliance, the data packet containing numerical diagnostic data from a computer in the vehicle on lines 30-33, on column 3; transmitting the data packet over an air link with the wireless appliance so that the data packet passes through a network and to a host computer system on lines 30-33, on column 3; processing the data packet with the host computer system to generate numerical diagnostic data on lines 35-40, on column 3, wherein the generated information comprises at least one of vehicle status reports and vehicle service recommendations that comprise at least one of text messages and icons in figure 2; and displaying the

numerical diagnostic data on a web site hosed on the internet, the web site comprising a series of pages corresponding to individual vehicles and a series of pages corresponding to a group of vehicles on lines 60-65, on column 4; having multiple vehicles transmitting data packets on lines 9-10, on column 3; extracting numerical data from the data packet on lines 11-15, on column 3; the processing step further includes generating a set of data that comprises an alphanumeric text message on lines 35-40. on column 3; generating and displaying a set of data that comprises an alphanumeric text message in figure 2; and the vehicle parameter is a trouble code and the text message describes the active or pending diagnostic trouble code on lines 25-30, on column 3; the web site implementing a first web interface having a first login and dedicated to presenting information about said vehicle, and a second web interface having a second login and presenting information about a group of vehicle including said vehicle on lines 38-45, on column 2; wherein the transmitted data contains one or more vehicle parameters and wherein the processing step further includes processing at least one of the vehicle parameters with a database software on lines 39-41, on column 4; the alphanumeric text message comprises a 5, 6, or 7 digit code that describes the active or pending diagnostic trouble code on lines 15-17, on column 3; the processing step further comprises processing at least one numerical parameter with a mathematical equation on lines 37-41, on column 2; the processing step further comprises comparing and displaying at least one numerical parameter with at least one numerical parameter generated at an earlier point in time on line 2, on column 3; the numerical value is a mileage value, the alphanumerical text message includes one

Application/Control Number: 10/614,665

Art Unit: 3661

parameter from the numerical data, and displaying the alphanumeric text message on the web page in figure 2; the web site comprises a login page and fields for entering a multiple user name and password on line 17, on column 4; the first user corresponds to a vehicle owner and a second user name corresponds to a corporation with a fleet of vehicles on line 5, on column 3. Lange et al. do not disclose the step of sending an electronic mail message that comprises all or part of the alphanumeric text and with the host computer comparing the data to at least one data value to generate diagnostic data or location information; and the derived information has a meaning distinct from the received data.

Page 5

- 6. Shetty et al. teaches of sending an electronic mail message that comprises all or part of the alphanumeric text on lines 33-50, on column 2; and with the host computer comparing the data to at least one data value to generate diagnostic data or location information on lines 28-37, on column 2; and the derived information has a meaning distinct from the received data on lines 30-34, on column 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the email and alerts of Shetty et al. in the invention of Lange et al. because such modification would provide a warning manager that provide a method for providing information relating to a mobile machine.
- 7. Claim 68 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lange et al., in view of Shetty et al. as applied to claims 1-67 above, and further in view of Lin et al., 6400701.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,665

Art Unit: 3661

8. Lang et al. and Shetty et al. discloses the limitations as set forth above. Lange et al. and Shetty et al. does not disclose the graphical interface is formatted with at least one wireless access protocol. Lin et al. teaches using a wireless access protocol when accessing the internet through a wireless connection on lines 41-56, on column 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the WAP of Lin et al. in the above invention because such modification would allow wireless internet access to the maintenance information of the Shetty et al. and Lange et al.

Page 6

- 9. Claims 70-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lange et al. 6295492, in view of Shetty et al., 5808907 as applied to claims 1-67 and 69 above, and further in view of Fogarty, US 2002/0118222.
- 10. Lange et al. and Shetty et al. disclose the limitations as set forth above. They do not explicitly disclose the status reports and service recommendation comprise icons indicating the vehicles status. They do disclose using text. Fogarty teaches that text and icons are interchangeable in web documents in paragraph 66. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the text with icons because it is a design choice.

### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 9-12-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments repeat some arguments previously addressed in prior actions. Shetty et al. teaches processing the diagnostic data to produce a meaning more than a simple error code. This reads on the added limitation. The change of limitations to solely claiming icons does not overcome the newly cited prior art.

Art Unit: 3661

### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 571-272-6957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJB

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED